# Probing the Two Epochs of Reionization in Absorption: Status and Issues

Gábor Worseck



Intergalactic Interconnections

Marseille, July 9-13, 2018

## Reionization Events – Two Baryonic Phase Transitions



Credits: NASA, ESA, and A. Feild (STScI)

Probing the Two Epochs of Reionization in Absorption

# Sources of the UV Background

#### Star-forming galaxies

- High space density
- Small (?) escape fraction
- Soft UV radiation



#### Quasars

- Low space density
- Unity (?) escape fraction
- Hard UV radiation



# Emissivity of Quasars and Star-Forming Galaxies

#### Star-forming galaxies

• Lyman limit emissivity

$$\epsilon_{
u,912} = rac{f_{
m esc}}{f_{
u,912}} f_{
u,012} / f_{
u,01} / f_{
u,012} / f_{
u$$

• 
$$\epsilon_{\nu}(\nu) = \epsilon_{\nu,912} \times ?$$



#### Quasars

• Lyman limit emissivity

$$\epsilon_{
u,912} = f_{
u,912}/f_{
u,UV} \ imes \epsilon_{
u,UV} \, (> L_{UV,min}, z)$$

• 
$$\epsilon_{\nu}\left(\nu\right) = \epsilon_{\nu,912} \left(\nu/\nu_{912}\right)^{lpha}$$



# The HI UV Background

- Method 1: Adjust H ι photoionization rate in optically thin numerical simulation until Lyα effective optical depth matches observations
- Method 2: Quasar proximity effect
- Comparison to UV background synthesis models based on source population and IGM absorber model

 $\rightarrow$  Quasars+galaxies needed to explain  $\Gamma_{HI} \simeq$  const at z = 3-5



G. Worseck (Potsdam)

Probing the Two Epochs of Reionization in Absorption

# The High-Redshift Photon Underproduction Crisis

- Strong redshift evolution of Lyman continuum escape fraction required to explain inferred H I UV background at z > 3!
- $z\sim3$ :  $f_{
  m esc}\lesssim {
  m few}$  % (but see Steidel et al. 2018)
- Source population for H reionization not well constrained



# The H I Reionization History

• Rest-frame stacks of QSO spectra  $\rightarrow \lambda_{mfp}(z) = 37 \left(\frac{1+z}{5}\right)^{-5.4} pMpc$ 

Madau 2017: Modified reionization eq. to account for residual H I

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Q_{\mathrm{HII}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\langle \dot{n}_{\mathrm{ion}} \rangle}{\langle n_{\mathrm{H}} \rangle} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathrm{mfp}} \langle n_{\mathrm{H}} \rangle (1 - Q_{\mathrm{HII}})\sigma_{\mathrm{HI}}}} \right) - \frac{Q_{\mathrm{HII}}}{\langle t_{\mathrm{rec}} \rangle}$$

• Madau 2017:  $\langle \dot{n}_{ion} \rangle / \langle n_{H} \rangle = 2.9$ /Gyr fits observational constraints (Ly $\alpha$  forest, IGM damping wings, Planck, Ly $\alpha$  emitter fraction)



#### Quasar Sightlines Probe the Reionization Epochs



Becker, Bolton & Lidz (2015)

# Scatter in H I Ly $\alpha$ Effective Optical Depth

- H I Ly $\alpha$  scattering optical depth  $\tau(z) \simeq 3.85 \times 10^5 \langle x_{\text{HI}} \rangle \left(\frac{1+z}{7}\right)^{3/2}$
- $z \sim 6$ :  $\tau_{\text{eff}} = -\ln \langle e^{-\tau} \rangle \gtrsim 6$  $\longrightarrow x_{\text{HI}} = n_{\text{HI}}/n_{\text{H}} \gtrsim 10^{-4}$
- $\tau_{\text{eff}}$  scatter larger than expected from density field (r = 50/h cMpc)  $\longrightarrow \langle x_{\text{HI}} \rangle$  variations (factor  $\gtrsim 3$ )  $\longrightarrow$  UV background fluctuations





# Statistical Description

- Cumulative distribution of  $\tau_{\rm eff}$  among sightlines
- Statistical comparison to simulations
  - $\longrightarrow$  Constrain models
- Realistic mock data from simulations required



# Potential Explanations for the Large $au_{\rm eff}$ Scatter

- Temperature fluctuations after patchy reionization (D'Aloisio et al. 2015)
- 2 UV background fluctuations due to spatially varying  $\lambda_{mfp}$ (Davies & Furlanetto 2016, D'Alaisia et al. 2010)

D'Aloisio et al. 2018)

- UV background fluctuations due to rare UV sources / QSOs (Chardin et al. 2015, 2017)
  - Predictions for GP troughs:
    - T fluct.: Cooling overdensities
    - $\Gamma_{HI}$  fluct.: Voids with  $\lambda_{mfp} \rightarrow 0$
    - QSOs: Range of densities



# Evidence for UV Background Fluctuations: Underdensity of Ly $\alpha$ Emitters near GP Trough

- Survey for Ly $\alpha$  emitters near  $z \simeq 5.7$  GP trough
- Underdensity of Ly $\alpha$  emitters at R < 20/h cMpc  $(P_{random} = 3 \times 10^{-5})$





Davies et al. (2018), Becker et al. (2018)

## More Data – More Puzzles

- Bosman et al. (2018):  $\tau_{\rm eff}$  measurements in 62  $z_{\rm em}$  > 5.7 QSOs
- Treatment of non-detections:  $2\sigma$  upper limit of transmission or  $\tau_{\rm eff} \to \infty$  for all non-detections
- Comparison to forward-modeled simulations  $\rightarrow$  No considered model matches data at z > 5.2
- Eilers et al.: Different results on largely the same data



# IGM Damping Wing: Significant H I Fraction at $z \gtrsim 7$

- Significantly neutral IGM  $\longrightarrow$  IGM damping wing in QSO spectra
- Davies et al. 2018: Seminumerical sim. of reion. topology + 1D radiative transfer through high-res. sim.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Model IGM damping wing including biased QSO halos, proximity effect and QSO lifetime
  - + Modeling of uncertain QSO continuum
  - $\longrightarrow$  Joint constraints on  $x_{HI}$  and QSO lifetime



Probing the Two Epochs of Reionization in Absorption

#### Cosmic Reionization Ends at $z \sim 3!$



Credits: NASA, ESA, and A. Feild (STScI)

Probing the Two Epochs of Reionization in Absorption

## Simulations: He III Bubbles around Quasars

- Semi-analytic models and radiative transfer simulations
- Prediction: Inhomogeneous and extended He II reionization ( $\sim$  1Gyr, 3  $\lesssim$  *z*  $\lesssim$  4)



McQuinn et al. (2009)

# Handful of Historic He II Sightlines: $z_{reion} \sim 3$

- Direct tracer of He II reionization: He II Ly $\alpha$  ( $\lambda_{rest} = 303.78$  Å) analogous to H I Ly $\alpha$  at  $z \sim 6$
- Before GALEX: Blind surveys for UV-bright quasars
- Until 2009: 5 sightlines (HST/STIS, FUSE)
- Main features:
  - Gunn-Peterson trough at z > 3
  - Patchy He II absorption at 2.7 < z < 3</p>
  - He II Ly $\alpha$  forest at z < 2.7

#### • He III zones around quasars



# The GALEX + HST/COS Revolution

- GALEX: Pre-selection of UV-transparent quasar sightlines
- Dedicated survey for UV-bright quasars (2–3 m tel.)
- HST/COS follow-up spectroscopy
- 22 new science-grade He II sightlines → First statistical sample
- Helium Reionization Survey (HERS): Homogeneous reduction and analysis (Worseck et al. 2016, 2018)



#### Probing the Two Epochs of Reionization in Absorption

# Fluctuating Ly $\alpha$ Absorption



#### Far UV: He II at $z \sim 3.5$



Probing the Two Epochs of Reionization in Absorption

### He II Transmission Spikes at $z\sim 3.5$

- Unexpected based on handful of pre-COS He II spectra
- Disagreement with quasar-driven He II reionization models predicting ubiquitous Gunn-Peterson troughs at z > 3



- Measurements: He II effective optical depth on  $\sim$  40 cMpc
- $z \lesssim 2.7$ : Agreement with numerical simulation of photoionized IGM



- Measurements: He II effective optical depth on  $\sim$  40 cMpc
- $z \lesssim 2.7$ : Agreement with numerical simulation of photoionized IGM



- Measurements: He II effective optical depth on  $\sim$  40 cMpc
- $z \lesssim 2.7$ : Agreement with numerical simulation of photoionized IGM



- Measurements: He II effective optical depth on  $\sim$  40 cMpc
- $z \lesssim 2.7$ : Agreement with numerical simulation of photoionized IGM
- 2.7  $\lesssim z \lesssim$  3: Gradual increase & scatter



- Measurements: He II effective optical depth on  $\sim$  40 cMpc
- $z \lesssim 2.7$ : Agreement with numerical simulation of photoionized IGM
- 2.7  $\lesssim$  *z*  $\lesssim$  3: Gradual increase & scatter

 $\rightarrow$  fluctuating UV background,  $z_{reion} \simeq 2.7$ 



- Measurements: He II effective optical depth on  $\sim$  40 cMpc
- $z \lesssim 2.7$ : Agreement with numerical simulation of photoionized IGM
- 2.7  $\lesssim$  *z*  $\lesssim$  3: Gradual increase & scatter

 $\rightarrow$  fluctuating UV background,  $z_{reion} \simeq 2.7$ 

•  $z \sim 3.2$ : Low effective optical depths, gradual He II reionization



- Measurements: He II effective optical depth on  $\sim$  40 cMpc
- $z \lesssim 2.7$ : Agreement with numerical simulation of photoionized IGM
- 2.7  $\lesssim$  *z*  $\lesssim$  3: Gradual increase & scatter

 $\rightarrow$  fluctuating UV background,  $z_{reion} \simeq 2.7$ 

- $z \sim 3.2$ : Low effective optical depths, gradual He II reionization
- $z \sim 3.6$ : One third of the IGM consistent with  $\sim 1\%$  He II fraction  $\rightarrow$  He II reionization well underway at  $z \sim 4$



## The He II-Ionizing Background Fluctuates at z > 2.74

- Forward-model He II spectra for grid in  $\Gamma_{\text{HeII}} = \text{const.}$ 
  - ▶ 100/h Mpc 4096<sup>3</sup> Eulerian hydrodyn. sim. (Nyx; Lukić et al. 2015)
  - Realistic mock He II spectra, redshift subsamples
- z > 2.74: τ<sub>eff</sub> scatter exceeds expectations for Γ<sub>HeII</sub> = const.
   → He II-ionizing background fluctuates at z > 2.74
   → Standard UV background spectra only applicable at z < 2.7</li>



#### UV Background Fluctuations at the End of He II Reion.



#### Probing the Two Epochs of Reionization in Absorption

#### The Evolution of the He II Photoionization Rate

• Match mock and observed median effective optical depth  $\rightarrow$ Median  $\Gamma_{\text{HeII}}$  drops by factor 5 between z = 2.6 and z = 3.1



Worseck et al. (2018)

# Implications for HeII-Reionizing Source Population

- He II reionization in progress at  $z \sim 4$  and ends at  $z \simeq 2.7$
- Tension with reionization models with rapidly evolving quasar emissivity
- Exotic sources
  - X-ray binaries?
  - Thermal emission from shock-heated gas?
- Reassessment of z < 7.5 quasar luminosity function
- Homogenized sample from credible quasar surveys (*z*<sub>spec</sub>, selection function)



# The He II Reionization History

- Reionization equation  $\frac{\mathrm{d}Q_{\mathrm{HeIII}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\mathrm{ion}}}{\langle n_{\mathrm{He}} \rangle} - \frac{Q_{\mathrm{HeIII}}}{\langle t_{\mathrm{fec,He}} \rangle}$
- Emission rate given by QSO luminosity function and SED



# The He II Reionization History

- Reionization equation  $\frac{\mathrm{d}Q_{\mathrm{HeIII}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\mathrm{ion}}}{\langle n_{\mathrm{He}} \rangle} \frac{Q_{\mathrm{HeIII}}}{\langle t_{\mathrm{rec,He}} \rangle}$
- Emission rate given by QSO luminosity function and SED
- Vanilla model:  $z_{\rm reion} \simeq 3.5$  for  $M_{1450} < -18$



# The He II Reionization History

- Reionization equation  $\frac{\mathrm{d}Q_{\mathrm{HeIII}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\mathrm{ion}}}{\langle n_{\mathrm{He}} \rangle} - \frac{Q_{\mathrm{HeIII}}}{\langle t_{\mathrm{rec}} | \mathrm{He} \rangle}$
- Emission rate given by QSO luminosity function and SED
- Vanilla model:  $z_{\rm reion} \simeq 3.5$  for  $M_{1450} < -18$
- But: End of reionization delayed by Lyman limit systems (Bolton et al. 2009, Madau 2017)
- $Q \rightarrow 1$  overestimates  $z_{reion}$



# The HeII Reionization History: Parameter Variations

Harder SED

 $(\alpha_{\nu} = -1.4$ , Stevans et al. 2014)  $\longrightarrow z_{reion} \simeq 3.9$  for  $M_{1450} < -18$ 

- Other parameter choices:
  - ► Fainter AGN (*M*<sub>1450</sub> > −18)
  - Luminosity-dependent fesc
  - Clumping factor evolution
- Radiative transfer simulations of HeII reionization are needed



- ✓ Statistical samples of QSO sightlines probing H I and He II reion.
- ✓ Variance in  $\tau_{\rm eff}$  on scales of tens of Mpc
- Comparison to simple models
  - $\longrightarrow$  UV background fluctuations at tail end of extended reionization
- ✓ H I damping wings & demise of Ly $\alpha$  emitters
  - $\longrightarrow$  Ongoing H  $\scriptstyle I$  reionization at  $z\simeq7$

- ✓ Statistical samples of QSO sightlines probing H I and He II reion.
- ✓ Variance in  $\tau_{\rm eff}$  on scales of tens of Mpc
- Comparison to simple models
  - $\longrightarrow$  UV background fluctuations at tail end of extended reionization
- ✓ H I damping wings & demise of Ly $\alpha$  emitters

 $\longrightarrow$  Ongoing H  $\scriptstyle I$  reionization at  $z\simeq7$ 

X Large-scale radiative transfer simulations (QSOs)

- ✓ Statistical samples of QSO sightlines probing H I and He II reion.
- ✓ Variance in  $\tau_{\rm eff}$  on scales of tens of Mpc
- Comparison to simple models
  - $\longrightarrow$  UV background fluctuations at tail end of extended reionization
- ✓ H I damping wings & demise of Ly $\alpha$  emitters
  - $\longrightarrow$  Ongoing H  $\scriptstyle I$  reionization at  $z\simeq7$
- X Large-scale radiative transfer simulations (QSOs)
- X Comparisons from different instruments to assess systematics
- Forward modeling of simulations at systematics limit of data, interpretation of heterogeneous data sets

- ✓ Statistical samples of QSO sightlines probing H I and He II reion.
- ✓ Variance in  $\tau_{\rm eff}$  on scales of tens of Mpc
- Comparison to simple models
  - $\longrightarrow$  UV background fluctuations at tail end of extended reionization
- ✓ H I damping wings & demise of Ly $\alpha$  emitters

 $\longrightarrow$  Ongoing H  $\scriptstyle I$  reionization at  $z\simeq7$ 

- X Large-scale radiative transfer simulations (QSOs)
- X Comparisons from different instruments to assess systematics
- Forward modeling of simulations at systematics limit of data, interpretation of heterogeneous data sets
- X QSO emissivity: Comprehensive surveys for faint AGN at z > 2.2
- X Galaxy emissivity:  $f_{esc}$  distribution, phys. explanation for  $f_{esc}(z)$

- ✓ Statistical samples of QSO sightlines probing H I and He II reion.
- ✓ Variance in  $\tau_{\rm eff}$  on scales of tens of Mpc
- Comparison to simple models
  - $\longrightarrow$  UV background fluctuations at tail end of extended reionization
- ✓ H I damping wings & demise of Ly $\alpha$  emitters

 $\longrightarrow$  Ongoing H  $\scriptstyle I$  reionization at  $z\simeq7$ 

- X Large-scale radiative transfer simulations (QSOs)
- X Comparisons from different instruments to assess systematics
- Forward modeling of simulations at systematics limit of data, interpretation of heterogeneous data sets
- X QSO emissivity: Comprehensive surveys for faint AGN at z > 2.2
- X Galaxy emissivity:  $f_{esc}$  distribution, phys. explanation for  $f_{esc}(z)$
- VUVB modeling: Variance in SED during He II reion., uncertainties & assumptions after reion.